



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,672	06/21/2006	Ole Klembt Andersen	FR030166	9233
24737	7590	06/17/2009	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2828	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
06/17/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,672	ANDERSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUAN N. NGUYEN	2828	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3.) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Thronton et al. (US 6,574,257).

With respect to claims 1, 5, 6 Thronton et al ‘ 257 shows and discloses an arrangement for read-out of information from an optical information carrier, comprising a light source for illuminating said information carrier (*Fig 1,4: 12, 45,47 medium as optical information carrier*), and an optical system for receiving light reflected from the information carrier and for injecting this reflected light into a vertical-cavity surface- emitting laser (VCSEL) (30) (*Fig 1:28,29,30, and d - as optical system receiving light reflected light into Fig 4: 64 VCSEL*), said VCSEL having a front side for receiving said reflected light and a rear opposite said front side wherein the VCSEL is configured to emit light through its rear, and wherein a photodetector (32) is provided adjacent said rear to detect light emitted through the rear of the VCSEL (*Fig 4: 64, 44 where VCSEL has front #90 and rear #92, and detector #44 receive emit light through the rear of the VCSEL*). Since claims 5, 6 recites the same or identical elements/limitations it is inherent to use patents Thronton et al ‘ 257 to recite an optical drive or the method of using, product by process.

With respect to claim 3, wherein the VCSEL is configured to emit light through its rear by way of a hole provided in a substrate of the VCSEL (*Fig 4: 92 as hole in rear substrate*).

With respect to claim 4, wherein the VCSEL is configured to emit light through its rear by way of a substrate of the VCSEL being transparent to the emitted wavelength.(*Fig 4: 78 VCSEL emit light through rear by way of substrate 78 and as being transparent to the emitted wavelength*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or non-obviousness.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thronton et al. (US 6,574,257) or in view of PRIOR ART.

Claim 2 further requires, a polarizer arranged between said rear of the VCSEL and said photodetector for allowing only light through its rear by way of a hole provided in a substrate of the VCSEL. It is within one skill in the art to recognize the polarizer has been used in the laser art, and having a polarizer polarizing light prior to receive by the detector is within one skill in

the art. Furthermore, Prior Art (Fig 2) shows the use of polarizer arranged between laser beam output and the photodetector to allow only certain light to reach the detector. It would be within one skill in the art to provide the same structure layout as shown by the Prior Art to elect only certain wavelength reach the detector, as required by the claim.

Citation of Pertinent References

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. It is cited primarily to show the product of the instant invention.

Shimada et al. (US 4,460,977) shows the light source receiving light reflected from the information carrier and emit light through rear to a detector.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harvey Minsun can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tuan N Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2828

/Minsun Harvey/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828